



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,748	09/12/2003	Simon Tong	16113-323001/GP-133-00-US	8166
26192 7590 02/20/2008				
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
PO BOX 1022				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
EXAMINER				
PARDO, THUY N				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2168				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/20/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/661,748

Applicant(s)

TONG ET AL.

Examiner

Thuy N. Pardo

Art Unit

2168

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thuy N. Pardo.

(3) _____.

(2) Matthew Smyth.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, 5, 13, 18 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Corston-Oliver et al. (6,901,402) and Yayoi et al. (2003/0149704).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented and discussed proposed amendments to the claims. The examiner indicated that the proposed amendments, if submitted formally, appear to distinguish from the cited reference. However, further search and consideration would be necessary. No specific agreement was reached regarding the disposition of the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thuy N Pardo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.